Faith in development:

What difference does faith make for Christian NGOs working in Bangladesh, a Muslim majority country?

Presentation to DevNet 2018

By Julian Doorey

Intro... some things to consider...

- Religious organisations are the oldest social service networks known to humankind, but...
- Underlying topic of religion and development has been mostly ignored in development literature
- Rapprochement between proponents of secular development and supporters of religious-based social transformation is called for

'It's time that religion, spirituality and faith were taken seriously as factors shaping development and around which development can be shaped' (Lunn, 2009)

Background, rationale and research question

- Worked in Bangladesh CNGO development 2002-2015
- Collegial wonderings; suspicion of motives and outcomes; secular development vs Christian mission
- How do Christian NGOs working in Bangladesh, a Muslim majority country, perceive that their faith identity influences their operating characteristics, making them distinctive from secular NGOs?
- Do the literature claims (made by some) that FBOs make distinctive contributions, offering mostly advantages (and some disadvantages) compared with secular NGOs, apply to the Bangladeshi CNGOs?

Method

- 'Religion and Development' (RAD) framework
- Religious lens and development lens
- Deductive testing existing literature claims FBOs
- 6 CNGOs, Skype interviews, structured questionnaire, qualitative, quantitative
- FBO literature sourced from Christian cultural contexts ≠ Muslim majority country (90%), Christian minority (0.3%), persecution and violence
- Security generic blurring

Faith identity

CNGOs...

- Strong, explicit and open Christian identity
- No obfuscation to communities or government
- Critical for CNGOs' vision and mission
- Important to their stakeholders
- Organisational profiles

Church linkage

Distinctive...

- Projects hosted by local churches
- Use local church's land and facilities
- Long-term sustainable presence
- Physical presence and social entry point
- Spiritual or prayer support

Operating characteristics - difference

Assessing literature claims (made by some) for FBOs of *advantage* and *disadvantage* compared with secular NGOs...

- Motivational, organisational and institutional advantage claims (x7)
- Spiritual advantage claims (x3)
- Disadvantage claims (x2)

(James, 2009; James, 2011; Lunn, 2009; Rakodi, 2012; Tomalin, 2012)

Apply to the Bangladeshi CNGOs?

- Reach the poorest? (due to social and physical infrastructure, such as local church facilities based in the poorest communities, through which development activities may be implemented)
- CNGOs often hosted by local churches in remote areas
- Work with previously neglected Tribals
- 'Savings-based loans' better than microcredit
- Work with 'settled' poor not transient poorest x
- >>> CNGOs reach very poor people, but do they 'reach the poorest'? Literature claim superlative term 'poorest' is problematic. Recommend rewording claim to they 'reach the very poor'

- Valued by the poorest? (due to a mix of living and working in poor faith-based communities and observable religious motivation to serve the public good, rather than for personal or political gain)
- CNGOs said people value who they see benefit them
- Built on 200 year mission history of health, education and agriculture
- Some Muslims may prefer a Christian faith development worker
- 8 episodic, past-tense stories thanks for assistance and relationship
- >>> CNGOs valued by poor people, of any religion they work with.

 Literature claim superlative term 'poorest' is a problem. Recommend rewording claim to 'valued by the poor they work with'

- 3. Long-term sustainable presence? (due to linkage with religious institutions embedded in local communities, eg: churches and mosques, which generally last longer than civil-society organisations)
- CNGOs leave, but local host churches continue a long-term development presence (of some sort)
- Requires churches motivated and capacity built in development
- Church microscopic, very poor, discrimination from Muslim majority
- Can secular funding build churches in community development?
- >>> CNGOs believed host churches offer long-term development presence after projects end. Nature and usefulness - lacked evidence in literature and my research

- 4. Efficient development services? (due to faith communities subsidising services and channelling resources through their existing networks)
- CNGO field staff live very simply in poor project villages
- Projects hosted by church facilities lower set-up costs
- Low cost community partnership and empowerment model capacity building self-help groups
- Denominational CNGOs pay lower salaries, limiting inequalities across denominational staff, resulting in rapid turn-over of entrylevel development staff x
- >>> CNGOs offer low cost, value for money development. But denominational CNGOs have competing demands

- Alternative to secular development theory? (due to spiritual and religious concepts of values and meaning)
- CNGOs' faith identity shapes their vision, mission and operating characteristics - cross-cutting values formation - Biblical values
- Field staff live in poor project villages models Jesus' incarnation, identifying with humanity
- CNGOs a priori believe all people made in God's image, full of creative potential
- Biblical Great Commandment (love God and neighbour) motivates
- >>> CNGOs reveal different aspects of Biblical approach to development, alongside conventional secular development ideas

- 6. Motivate voluntary service? (inspired by their faith to assist fellow human beings)
- Regular local volunteers not prevalent poor need money to live -'paid volunteers' x
- CNGOs and foreign donors motivate 1000s (inside and outside country) - time, money, knowledge, skills, resources and facilities
- Expectations that staff have Godly vocational calling
- 4 stories examples of volunteerism different people, ways, places
- >>> CNGOs strongly motivated volunteerism, but... nature of the volunteerism (who, what, where) needed further exploration beyond my research field question

- 7. Encourage civil-society advocacy? (through their extensive network of individuals, congregations and related organisations)
- Minimal national level advocacy urgent local poverty alleviation x
- Limited advocacy due to culture of patronage and corruption x
- Safety concerns microscopic religious minority discrimination from Muslim majority
- Local-level rights-based advocacy, empowering 'rights holders' (poor and marginalised) to receive development entitlements from 'resource holders' (government and such like)
- >>> CNGOs minimal national level advocacy. Public advocacy easier if equality, tolerance, rule of law, and political and religious freedom. Local-level rights-based advocacy to improve services for the poor

Spiritual advantage claims (x3)

- 1. Spiritual / religious teaching? (to assist development outcomes, as religious teaching includes important development principles, such as justice, compassion, forgiveness, reconciliation and stewardship)
- 2. Spiritual / religious hope, meaning and purpose? (helping change values and attitudes to facilitate good community development)
- 3. Spiritual / religious transcendent power? (which energises human spirits, and prayer to assist participant / beneficiaries and development change)
- >>> CNGOs agreed with 1, 2 & 3.

Disadvantage claims (x2)

- 1. Is religion part of the problem for development? (a negative force holding back development perceived as: divisive, regressive, irrelevant, insensitive and proselytising)
- 2. Are churches difficult to work with for development? (indicated by 'interference, patronage and control' and 'welfare-orientation, discrimination / favouritism towards members, hierarchical leadership and organizational cultures, unprofessional staffing, and weak management systems')
- >>> CNGOs disagreed with 1, agreed with 2 (patronage culture)

Conclusion

- Faith identity critical to CNGOs' vision and mission results in some perceived differences compared with secular NGOs
- Operating characteristics include distinctive contributions (to various degrees) in the 7 motivational, organisational and institutional ways and 3 spiritual ways, along with one of the 2 possible disadvantages, claimed in literature
- Better evidence needed claims are subjective and prone to bias, reflecting the claimant's positionality
- Universal evaluation methodology need assess and score any NGO (secular or religious) operating in any context
- Until then... Recommend that FBO 'comparative advantage' (or disadvantage) claims... be reframed as distinctive 'operating characteristics'

















